

## CONTENTS

|   |      |
|---|------|
| <i>List of Tables</i>   | xvi  |
| <i>Table of Cases</i>   | xvii |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i>                                  | xxii |
| <b>Introduction</b>   | 1    |
| Methodology   | 4    |
| Outline of the Book   | 5    |
| Contributions   | 6    |
| <b>PART I The Ecosystem of ICT Standardization</b>            | 9    |
| <b>1 ICT Standardization as a Normative Regime</b>            | 11   |
| Characteristics of Technical Standards                        | 11   |
| Standards' Types and Functions                                | 11   |
| ICT Standards   | 14   |
| Characteristics of Standards Development Organizations        | 17   |
| Formal and Informal SDOs                                      | 18   |
| Hierarchy of SDOs   | 21   |
| Competitive Forces in SDOs                                    | 24   |
| Voluntary Standards as Normative Requirements                 | 28   |
| Regulatory Incorporation                                      | 29   |
| Virtue of a Force of Another Organization                     | 30   |
| Compliance Pull and Market Pressure                           | 32   |
| Binding Force of ICT Standards                                | 33   |
| Governance Characteristics of Voluntary Standards             | 34   |
| Private Actors as Governance Suppliers                        | 36   |
| Governance by ICT Standardization                             | 39   |
| <b>2 Legitimacy of ICT Standardization</b>                    | 42   |
| Defining and Measuring Legitimacy of Private Normative Orders | 42   |
| Effectiveness of ICT Standardization                          | 45   |
| Legitimation by Good Governance Principles and                |      |
| SDOs' Procedures  | 47   |
| The Limits of Accountability                                  | 48   |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Legitimacy and Accountability through Good Governance Processes                    | 50         |
| Synopsis   | 54         |
| <b>PART II The Law of ICT Standardization</b>                                      | <b>57</b>  |
| <b>3 The WTO Regulatory Framework</b>  | <b>61</b>  |
| Standards under the TBT Agreement  | 62         |
| Technical Regulation   | 63         |
| Standards and International Standards  | 64         |
| Procedural Mechanisms of the TBT Agreement   | 66         |
| TBT Code of Good Practice  | 67         |
| TBT Committee Decision   | 69         |
| ISO/IEC Guide 59   | 70         |
| WTO and ICT Standardization  | 71         |
| ICT Standards as Trade Barriers: The Case of WAPI                                  | 72         |
| Private Standards Conundrum  | 74         |
| SDOs in the ICT Sector as Recognized Bodies  | 76         |
| ICT Standardization beyond the TBT Agreement: Intellectual Property and Innovation | 79         |
| <b>4 The EU Regulatory Framework</b>   | <b>83</b>  |
| Evolution of the EU Standardization System   | 83         |
| Procedural Mechanisms of Regulation 1025/2012                                      | 85         |
| General Procedural Requirements  | 85         |
| ICT Standards  | 86         |
| Standards Interpretation and Normative Power in the EU                             | 87         |
| Harmonized European Standards  | 87         |
| National Standards   | 92         |
| Standardization in EU Competition Law  | 93         |
| SDOs as Vehicles for Collusion in the EU   | 93         |
| Decisions on Cartels   | 94         |
| Procedural Framework of Horizontal Guidelines                                      | 99         |
| Unilateral Conduct and Abuse of Power  | 101        |
| <b>5 The US Regulatory Framework</b>   | <b>105</b> |
| The US Standardization System  | 105        |
| Procedural Mechanisms of the US Standardization Law and Policy                     | 107        |
| NTTAA  | 107        |
| OMB Circular   | 109        |
| ANSI Essential Requirements  | 111        |
| US Voluntary Consensus Standards   | 112        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Standardization in US Competition Law                                    | 115        |
| SDOs as Vehicles for Collusion in the US                                 | 116        |
| Decisions on Cartels   | 116        |
| Procedural Framework   | 119        |
| Unilateral Conduct and Abuse of Power in the US                          | 120        |
| <b>6 Procedural Principles for Standardization</b>                       | <b>125</b> |
| Historical Background  | 125        |
| Analyzing Due Process Principles for Standards Development               | 127        |
| Transparency   | 127        |
| Openness and Participation   | 130        |
| Consensus, Impartiality, and Balance                                     | 133        |
| Effectiveness and Relevance  | 137        |
| Coherence and Coordination   | 139        |
| Concerns of Developing Countries or “Weaker Parties”                     | 141        |
| Appeal and Review  | 142        |
| Access to Standards on Fair, Reasonable, and Nondiscriminatory Terms     | 143        |
| Fitness of the Procedural Frameworks for ICT Standardization             | 144        |
| Relevance of the Principles  | 146        |
| Due Process as Good Governance   | 147        |
| Synopsis   | 148        |
| <b>PART III Governance Architecture and Decision-Process of SDOs</b> 153 |            |
| The Choice of Organizations  | 156        |
| Methodology  | 161        |
| <b>7 Policy-Making in SDOs</b>   | <b>163</b> |
| SDO Membership   | 163        |
| SDO Financing  | 170        |
| SDOs’ Governance Bodies  | 171        |
| Decision-Making in Policy Drafting                                       | 179        |
| Appeal and Dispute Resolution IPR  | 185        |
| Policies   | 189        |
| <b>8 Standards Development in SDOs</b>                                   | <b>199</b> |
| Proposal of Standardization Activities                                   | 199        |
| Technical Work on Standards  | 202        |
| Standards’ Approval  | 205        |
| <b>9 Procedural Safeguards in SDOs</b>                                   | <b>211</b> |
| Adherence to Due Process Requirements of the Regulatory Frameworks       | 215        |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Procedural Principles for Standardization  | 217 |
| Transparency   | 217 |
| Openness and Participation   | 219 |
| Consensus, Impartiality, and Balance   | 220 |
| Effectiveness and Relevance  | 223 |
| Coherence and Coordination   | 223 |
| Concerns of Developing Countries or “Weaker Parties”   | 224 |
| Appeal and Review  | 225 |
| Access on Fair, Reasonable, and Nondiscriminatory Terms  | 227 |
| SDOs’ Internal Procedural Principles   | 227 |
| Synopsis   | 228 |
| <b>PART IV Due Process in ICT Standardization: From Theory to Practice</b>   | 233 |
| Methodology  | 234 |
| Interview Format   | 234 |
| Respondents’ Demographics  | 236 |
| Methods of Approaching Respondents and Conducting and Processing Interviews  | 237 |
| Qualitative Case Studies on Disputes in SDOs   | 238 |
| Limitations and Caveats  | 239 |
| <b>10 Case Studies on Dispute Resolution in SDOs</b>   | 241 |
| Collusion and Due Process in Standards Development: TruePosition vs Ericsson, ALU, Qualcomm, ETSI, and 3GPP                    | 242 |
| Collusion and Due Process in Governance Processes: “Innovators” vs “Implementers” in IEEE-SA                                   | 247 |
| “Dictatorship” in Approval and Appeal Processes: Researchers and Civil Society vs Browser Vendors and Content Providers in W3C | 252 |
| Observations and Takeaways   | 255 |
| <b>11 Tendencies and Best Practices in the Industry</b>  | 257 |
| Experience with SDOs’ Governance   | 257 |
| Differences between SDOs   | 257 |
| Switching between Existing Standardization Platforms and Creating New Ones   | 260 |
| Barriers for Participation   | 263 |
| Policy-Making vs Standards Development Processes   | 267 |
| Procedural Guarantees in SDOs  | 271 |
| IPR Policies   | 274 |
| Dispute Resolution   | 276 |
| Suggested Improvements   | 278 |
| Performance of ICT Standards   | 280 |
| The Loss of Alternatives   | 280 |
| Standards’ Uptake by Industry and Markets  | 282 |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| Procedural Guarantees and Standards Uptake                 | 285        |
| Observations and Takeaways from the Interviews             | 288        |
| <b>12 Revisiting the Legitimacy of ICT Standardization</b> | <b>291</b> |
| Public Law Legitimacy                                      | 292        |
| Procedural Legitimacy                                      | 293        |
| Epistemic Legitimacy                                       | 297        |
| Practical Approach to Legitimacy of ICT Standardization    | 299        |
| Synopsis   | 301        |
| <b>Conclusion</b>  | <b>304</b> |
| Outlook on ICT Standardization and Global Trade            | 307        |
| Future Research Agenda                                     | 309        |
| <i>Annex I: List of Interviews</i>                         | 311        |
| <i>Bibliography</i>  | 312        |
| <i>Index</i>   | 338        |